

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Technical Information Service

AD-754 576

FORECAST OF SCHEDULE/COST STATUS  
UTILIZING COST PERFORMANCE REPORTS  
OF THE COST/SCHEDULE CONTROL  
SYSTEMS CRITERIA: A BAYESIAN APPROACH

M. Zaki El-Sabban

Army Aviation Systems Command  
St. Louis, Missouri

January 1973

**UNCLASSIFIED**

AD \_\_\_\_\_

AD 754576

**USAAVSCOM TECHNICAL REPORT 73-1**

**FORECAST OF SCHEDULE/COST STATUS**

**UTILIZING COST PERFORMANCE REPORTS OF THE  
COST/SCHEDULE CONTROL SYSTEMS CRITERIA**

# **A BAYESIAN APPROACH**

BY

M. ZAKI EL-SABBAN

JANUARY 1973



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;  
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

**U.S. ARMY AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND**

**ST. LOUIS, MO. 63166**

**DIRECTORATE FOR PLANS AND ANALYSIS**

**SYSTEMS ANALYSIS DIVISION**

Reproduced by  
NATIONAL TECHNICAL  
INFORMATION SERVICE  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
Springfield VA 22151

**UNCLASSIFIED**

US ARMY AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND

TECHNICAL REPORT 73-1

FORECAST OF SCHEDULE/COST STATUS UTILIZING  
COST PERFORMANCE REPORTS OF THE COST/SCHEDULE CONTROL  
SYSTEMS CRITERIA: A BAYESIAN APPROACH

by

M. ZAKI EL-SABBAN

January 1973

Approved For Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The findings in this report are not to be construed  
as an official Department of the Army position.

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

## DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R &amp; D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) US Army Aviation Systems Command Directorate for Plans and Analysis PO Box 209 St. Louis, Missouri 63166		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE FORECAST OF SCHEDULE/COST STATUS UTILIZING COST PERFORMANCE REPORTS OF THE COST SCHEDULE CONTROL SYSTEMS CRITERIA: A BAYESIAN APPROACH			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Final Report			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)  M. ZAKI EL-SABBAN			
6. REPORT DATE January 1973		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 10	7b. NO. OF REFS 2
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. None		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)  USAAVSCOM Technical Report No. 73-1	
b. PROJECT NO. None		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
c.		None	
d.			
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT  Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  None		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY US Army Aviation Systems Command AMSAV-BA PO Box 209 St. Louis, Missouri 63166	
13. ABSTRACT This report presents a Bayesian approach to a forecasting technique useful in projecting the future cost and schedule of work breakdown structure (WBS) items in Department of Defense contracts. The technique utilizes the data supplied in the cost performance reports (CPR) of the cost/schedule control systems criteria (C/SCSC). The forecast data are invaluable to the project manager supervising the contract, who might thereby avert costly schedule/cost program overruns. The advantages of this method are discussed in the present report and a solved example is hereby given.			

DD FORM 1473

REPLACES DD FORM 1473, 1 JAN 64, WHICH IS  
OBSOLETE FOR ARMY USE.

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

14	KEY WORDS		LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
			ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
	COST PERFORMANCE REPORTS COST/SCHEDULE FORECAST BAYES STATISTICS							

11

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

## FOREWORD

The present study was initiated by Mr. John W. Hollis, Chief, Systems Analysis Division, whose continued interest throughout this work is hereby acknowledged.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. METHODOLOGY .....	3
III. DISCUSSION .....	7
APPENDIX: EXAMPLE .....	8

## I. INTRODUCTION

The adoption of the Laird-Packard principle of closer ties with the contractor and more efficient supervision of the project operation throughout the life of the contract, created a new concept - that of establishing standards or criteria which enunciate the capabilities of a good cost/schedule management system, but leave the details of how to achieve these capabilities to the contractor. These standards are known as the cost/schedule control systems criteria (C/SCSC) and are contained in the Department of Defense Instruction 7000.2 (DODI 7000.2). Generally, the C/SCSC require that the contractor's activities be integrated and performed in a formal, disciplined fashion, which will allow a follow-up of his contractual progress. The contractor is also required to periodically provide the program manager with work breakdown structure (WBS) summary data that allows assessing the contractual adherence to the approved cost and schedule plan of action for each of the items in the WBS, especially the cost and schedule of the project at completion. The cost performance report (CPR), furnished about once a month by the contractor for this purpose, relates the costs incurred to date to the budgeted cost of the work actually performed, as well as to that of the work originally scheduled. Variance of the cost and schedule of each item from those originally planned, are also reported. The data reported in the CPR are very valuable to the project manager because it keeps him updated about the progress of the contract. What is more important, however, is to be able to use these data to gain insight in the future status of the program. Stated otherwise, the project manager needs a forecasting tool. Such tool would enable him to predict cost/schedule problem areas that might require his immediate attention. Such vital information might be so valuable as to make it possible to avert costly schedule/cost program overruns. There are several possible forecasting techniques. Of these, two familiar ones are the discounted least squares technique and the time series. The former technique would involve extrapolation of the least squares equation, far beyond the available data range, a very risky procedure that might well lead to erroneous conclusions. The time series method is technically superior and dependable but much more elaborate.

The continuous influx of the monthly status reports (CPR) prompted the investigation of the Bayesian statistical approach,

---

1

Department of Defense Instruction DODI 7000.2, as Appendix E to Army Regulation AR37-200, August 1968.



which calculates a posterior probability from an assumed prior probability. The present developed Bayes technique forecasts the expected cost/schedule at a future point in terms of the current data, as well as the variances to those forecast values.

## II. METHODOLOGY

Bayes' theorem states that<sup>2</sup>:

$$p(\theta|\theta_0) = \frac{p(\theta) p(\theta_0|\theta)}{p(\theta_0)}$$

$$\propto p(\theta) p(\theta_0|\theta)$$

(since  $p(\theta_0)$  is independent of  $\theta$  and equals  $\int p(\theta) p(\theta_0|\theta) d\theta$ )  
 $p(\theta|\theta_0)$  is the posterior pdf for the parameter vector  $\theta$ , given the sample information  $\theta_0$ ;  $p(\theta)$  is the prior pdf, for the parameter vector  $\theta$ ; and  $p(\theta_0|\theta)$ , viewed as a function of  $\theta$  is the likelihood function. The following is a possible manipulation of Bayes' theorem to apply to the C/SCSC problem:

Assume that an item cost/schedule at some point "o" along the project be normally distributed with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma_0^2$ . If the estimated cost/schedule at this point is  $\mu_0$ , then the likelihood function is

$$p(\mu_0|\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_0} \exp \left[ \frac{-1}{2\sigma_0^2} (\mu_0 - \mu)^2 \right]$$

Now we need to know the prior pdf for the parameter  $\mu$ . Let the estimated cost/schedule value, of the same item at an earlier point "a" along the project be  $\mu_a$ , where  $\mu_0 = c\mu_a$ . Let  $\mu_a$  be the actual value of the cost/schedule of the item at this point as reported in the cost performance report (CPR). Now, we can reasonably construct the prior distribution for the parameter  $\mu$ , by assuming that it is a normal distribution with an expected value of  $c\mu_a$ , and a standard deviation of  $c\sigma_a$ , where  $\sigma_a$  is the standard deviation of the item distribution at point "a". Such distribution will thus have

---

<sup>2</sup>

Zellner, Arnold, An Introduction to Bayesian Inference in Econometrics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., N. Y. 1971.

the form:

$$p(\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_a c} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2 c^2 \sigma_a^2} (\mu - c \mu_a)^2 \right]$$

= prior pdf of  $\mu$

Combining this prior pdf with the likelihood function, the posterior pdf for the parameter  $\mu$  becomes:

$$p(\mu | \mu_0) \propto p(\mu_0 | \mu) p(\mu)$$

$$\propto \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2 c^2 \sigma_a^2} (\mu - c \mu_a)^2 - \frac{1}{2 \sigma_0^2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2 \right]$$

$$\propto \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{c^2 \sigma_a^2} (\mu - c \mu_a)^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2 \right\} \right]$$

After some manipulation of the right hand side, we get:

$$p(\mu | \mu_0) \propto \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sigma_0^2 + c^2 \sigma_a^2}{c^2 \sigma_0^2 \sigma_a^2} \right) \left\{ \mu - \left( \frac{c \mu_a \sigma_0^2 + \mu_0 c^2 \sigma_a^2}{\sigma_0^2 + c^2 \sigma_a^2} \right) \right\}^2 \right]$$

which shows that  $\mu$  is normally distributed, a posteriori, with mean:

$$E(\mu) = \frac{c \mu_a \sigma_0^2 + \mu_0 c^2 \sigma_a^2}{\sigma_0^2 + c^2 \sigma_a^2}$$

and variance:

$$V(\mu) = \frac{c^2 \sigma_0^2 \sigma_a^2}{\sigma_0^2 + c^2 \sigma_a^2}$$

Note that the posterior mean and posterior variance could be written in the forms:

$$E(\mu) = \frac{c \mu_a \sigma_0^2 + \mu_0 c^2 \sigma_a^2}{\sigma_0^2 + c^2 \sigma_a^2} = \frac{c \mu_a (c \sigma_a)^{-2} + \mu_0 (\sigma_0)^{-2}}{(c \sigma_a)^{-2} + (\sigma_0)^{-2}}$$

and

$$V(\mu) = \frac{c^2 \sigma_0^2 \sigma_a^2}{\sigma_0^2 + c^2 \sigma_a^2} = \frac{1}{(c \sigma_a)^{-2} + (\sigma_0)^{-2}}$$

which shows that the posterior mean is a weighted average of the prior mean  $c \mu_a$  and the sample mean  $\mu_0$ , with weights being the reciprocals

of  $(c\sigma_a)^2$  and  $\sigma_0^2$  respectively. If we let  $(c\sigma_a)^{-2} = h_a$  and  $\sigma_0^{-2} = h_0$  then:

$$E(\mu) = \frac{c\mu_a h_a + \mu_0 h_0}{h_a + h_0}$$

and:

$$V(\mu) = \frac{1}{h_a + h_0}$$

$h_a$  and  $h_0$  being the corresponding precision parameters. Hence the precision parameter associated with the posterior mean is just  $[V(\mu)]^{-1} = h_a + h_0$ , the sum of the prior and sample precision parameters.

### III. DISCUSSION

This Bayesian approach to the problem of forecasting the cost and schedule of items involved in a Department of Defense contract, is simple and convenient.

Two main assumptions have been made during the course of development of this method: (1) that the cost and schedule at any point along the path of the project are normally distributed. Though not the most realistic, normal distributions are considered a fair approximation and are usually adopted for mathematical convenience; (2) that the prior distribution at point "o" has mean  $c\mu_a$  and standard deviation  $c\sigma_a$ ,  $c$  being the ratio between the planned cost/schedule at points "o" and "a", respectively. This is tantamount to assuming that the expected value of the cost/schedule at a particular point along the path of the project would relate proportionally with respect to the position of this point on the path. Such assumption is reasonable and logical.

To apply the formulas developed by the present method, it is needed to assign values to the standard deviations  $\sigma_a$  and  $\sigma_o$ . Fair estimates of these two quantities may be obtained by one of two methods:

(1) subjective estimates through personnel that are knowledgeable about the particular contract; (2) using the cost/schedule variances reported in earlier cost performance reports (CPR), which are indicative of the dispersion, e.g., assuming they loosely follow a normal distribution, then calculating  $\sigma$  in the usual manner.

The advantages of this Bayesian Scheme are: (1) easy closed formulas are used, which can readily be handled with a desk calculator; (2) the formulas are equally valid at any point along the path of the program, with no extrapolation involved; (3) updating the forecast does not require a new elaborate smoothing or reiterative process, only a reapplication of the formulas by substituting the new data; (4) this is the only plausible method to use in the very early stage of the life of the contract, since then available information is too scanty for any other method to apply meaningfully.

# APPENDIX: EXAMPLE

The adjoining table presents an actual contract cost performance report (CPR) of a particular item. The Bayesian Statistical technique will be used to forecast the cost at project completion of this item, projected from these reported data. For cost forecast, we need the following quantities: (1) Budgeted cost of work performed (BCWP), reported in column 8 in CPR; (2) Actual cost of work performed (ACWP) reported in column 9; (3) Budgeted cost at completion reported in column 12. The ACWP is  $\mu_a$ , and the budgeted cost at completion is  $\mu_o$ . The quantity  $c$  is  $\mu_o/BCWP$  and the estimated values of  $\sigma$  are,  $\sigma_a = 0.1 \mu_a$  and  $\sigma_o = 0.05 \mu_o$ . Substituting the values of  $\mu_a = 2416.0$ ,  $\mu_o = 6716.6$ ,  $\sigma_a = 241.60$ ,  $\sigma_o = 335.83$ , and  $c = 6716.6/2204.1 = 3.047$ . Hence:

$$E(\mu) = \frac{c \mu_a \sigma_o^2 + \mu_o c^2 \sigma_a^2}{\sigma_o^2 + c^2 \sigma_a^2}$$

$$= \frac{(3.047)(2416.0)(335.83)^2 + (6716.6)(3.047)^2(241.60)^2}{(335.83)^2 + (3.047)^2(241.60)^2}$$

$$= 6827.70 \text{ (in \$1000)}$$

and the statistical variance is

$$V(\mu) = \frac{c^2 \sigma_o^2 \sigma_a^2}{\sigma_o^2 + c^2 \sigma_a^2} = 93353.61$$

$$\sigma = (V)^{1/2} = 305.5 \text{ (in \$1000)}$$

Therefore, based on the CPR reported data at point "a" of the project, the expected cost at completion, point "o", is \$6,827,700, with a standard deviation of \$305,500.

A similar procedure would be applied to the schedule problem. In this case,  $\mu_u = 2,204.1$ , viz. the entry in column 8, whereas  $\mu_j$  will remain the same, i.e., 6716.6 of column 12, and  $c = 2,286.4/6716.6$ , where the numerator is the entry in column 7. A value for  $\sigma_g$  will have to be estimated, and calculations will proceed as before.



# COST PERFORMANCE REPORT (CPR)

ITEM WBS ELEMENT	CURRENT PERIOD						CUMULATIVE TO DATE						AT COMPLETION		
	BUDGETED COST			ACTUAL			VARIANCE			BUDGETED COST			ACTUAL		
	WORK	SCHED	PER-	WORK	SCHED	PER-	SCHEDULE	COST	PER-	WORK	SCHEDULE	COST	SCHEDULE	PER-	WORK
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
Rotor System	316.8	303.4	245.9	(11.4)	57.5	2,286.4	2,204.1	2,416.0	(82.3)	(211.9)	6,716.6	7,209.5	(492.9)		

( )/Indicator. Unfavorable variance (behind schedule or over cost)